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## The 2016 Battle for the Senate

$\square$ In addition to the presidential election, 34 Senate seats will be contested in 2016

- The Republicans currently hold a 55 to 45 majority
- Three Democrats (Boxer, Mikulski, and Reid) and three Republicans (Coates, Rubio, and Vitter) are retiring
- Because of the Republican's 2010 successes, the GOP is defending 24 seats


## Immigration and the Senate

- In 2013, the Senate passed comprehensive immigration reform (S. 744)
- Four Republicans running in 2016 voted yes: McCain (AZ), Ayotte (NH), Kirk (IL), and Murkowski (AK)
- 17 Republicans running in 2016 voted no: Shelby (AL), Boozman (AR), Isakson (GA), Crapo (ID), Grassley (IA), Moran (KS), Paul (KY), Blunt (MO), Burr (NC), Hoeven (ND), Portman (OH), Langford (OK), Toomey (PA), Scott (SC), Thune (SD), Lee (UT), Johnson (WI)


## Immigration Politics

$\square$ Republicans have challenged President Obama's executive actions to relieve deportations

- House and Senate Republicans have voted multiple times to end DACA and DAPA and most GOP presidential candidates have promised to end DACA and DAPA
- Executive actions like DACA and DAPA are broadly supported by Latino voters
- Potential late term Supreme Court decision


## Latino Voters Will Exceed 13 million



## Latino Voters Will Exceed 13 million

$\square$ As 2014 makes clear, Latino turnout is not a given

- Latinos participate when they are mobilized and candidates engage the Latino community
- Because Latino voters are not distributed evenly across the country and not all Senate races will be competitive, Latinos are positioned to be influential in 10 Senate elections in 2016


## 2016 Latino Influence Senate Seats

$\square$ Republican incumbent seeking reelection (6)

- Republican held open seat (1)
- Democratic incumbent seeking reelection (1)
- Democratic held open seat (2)



## 2016 Latino Influence Tier 1 Senate Seats

$\square$ Colorado, Florida, Illinois, and Nevada feature states with large and growing Latino populations that will be highly competitive


## Tier 1 Senate Seats: Colorado

$\square 2014$ Udall (D) = 71\% of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

- 2012 Obama (D) $=87 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

In 2010, Bennet
(D) won by less
than 30,000
votes (Obama (D)
won by 138,000
votes in 201 2);
six Republicans
have declared
for 2016
Participation Among Colorado Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $59.2 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $86.7 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $8.4 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $11.3 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 380 k | 455 k | 497 k | 534 k |
| Registered Voters | 225 k | 214 k | 284 k | 321 k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014

## Tier 1 Senate Seats: Florida

- 2014 Crist (D) $=52 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Obama (D) $=58 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Nelson (D) $=59 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)


## Participation Among Florida Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $69.4 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $88.9 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $15.4 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $16.0 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | $1,988 \mathrm{k}$ | $2,100 \mathrm{k}$ | $2,250 \mathrm{k}$ | $2,480 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Registered Voters | $1,380 \mathrm{k}$ | $1,239 \mathrm{k}$ | $1,622 \mathrm{k}$ | $1,493 \mathrm{k}$ |

## Tier 1 Senate Seats: Illinois

- 2014 Durbin (D) $=82 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2014 Quinn (D) $=77 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

In 2010, Kirk (R) won by less than 60,000 votes; at least three
Democrats will be running in 2016 setting up a competitive primary

Participation Among Illinois Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $57.4 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $81.6 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $5.8 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $7.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 671 k | 749 k | 770 k | 892 k |
| Registered Voters | 385 k | 388 k | 415 k | 410 k |

## Tier 1 Senate Seats: Nevada

- 2014 Sandoval $(R)=48 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Obama (D) $=80 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

In 2010, Reid (D) won by less than 42,000 votes
(Obama (D) won by 68,000 votes in 201 2); CortezMasto (D) should face Heck (R) (with Angle lurking)

Participation Among Nevada Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $57.5 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $90.8 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $11.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $13.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | $228 k$ | $245 k$ | 302 k | 351 k |
| Registered Voters | 131 k | 103 k | 181 k | 189 k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010, 2012,2014

## 2016 Latino Influence Tier 2 Senate Seats

$\square$ North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin feature Republican incumbents seeking reelection in either Democratic leaning or swing states with smaller but growing Latino populations


## Tier 2 Senate Seats: North Carolina

- 2014 Hagan (D) $=63 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Obama (D) $=72 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

In 2010, Burr (R) won by over 300,000 votes (in 2012 Romney (R) won by 92,000 votes and in 2014 Tillis (R) won by 46,000 ; two declared Democrats for 2016

| Participation Among North Carolina Latinos, 2008-2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Registration Rate | $70.3 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $92.8 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $1.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $1.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 118 k | 182 k | 169 k | 204 k |
| Registered Voters | 83 k | 105 k | 115 k | 107 k |

## Tier 2 Senate Seats: Ohio

| In 2010, Portman |
| :--- |
| (R) won by nearly |
| 680,000 votes |
| (Obama (D) won |
| by 166,00 votes |
| and Brown (D) |
| won by 326,000 |
| votes in 2012 ); |
| two Democrats |
| including |
| Strickland have |
| declared |

- 2012 Obama (D) $=82 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Brown (D) $=80 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

| Participation Among Ohio Latinos, 2008-2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Registration Rate | $59.9 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $87.1 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $1.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $1.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 142 k | 128 k | 173 k | 157 k |
| Registered Voters | 85 k | 64 k | 116 k | 93 k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010,2012, 2014

## Tier 2 Senate Seats: Pennsylvania

In 2010, Tommey
$(\mathrm{R})$ won by 80,000 votes (in 2012 Obama won by 310,00 votes and Casey won by 512,000 votes); in 2016 three Democrats including 2010 opponent Sestak have declared

Participation Among Pennsylvania Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $59.2 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $85.2 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $2.8 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $3.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 319 k | 323 k | 407 k | 540 k |
| Registered Voters | 189 k | 155 k | 225 k | 260 k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010,2012, 2014

## Tier 2 Senate Seats: Wisconsin

| In 2010, Johnson |
| :--- |
| (R) won by |
| 105,000 votes |
| (Obama (D) won |
| by 213,000 votes |
| and Baldwin (D) |
| won by 167,000 |
| votes in 2012); |
| likely rematch |
| with Feingold (D) |

Participation Among Wisconsin Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $51.3 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $85.7 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $2.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $3.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | 150 k | 96 k | 163 k | 168 k |
| Registered Voters | 77 k | 53 k | 76 k | 77 k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010,201 2, 2014

## 2016 Latino Influence Tier 3 Senate Seats

$\square$ California and Arizona have the largest share of Latinos of any of the Senate seats being contested in 2016, but it unclear how competitive they will be


## Tier 3 Senate Seats: Arizona

- $2014 \mathrm{DuVal}(\mathrm{D})=74 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Obama (D) $=79 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Carmona (D) $=83 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)

In 2010, McCain
(R) won by over

413,000 votes and may be challenged in a primary; two
Democrats
including
Kirkpatrick (AZ-

1) have declared

Participation Among Arizona Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $51.5 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $71.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $11.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $19.1 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | $796 k$ | $1,061 k$ | $989 k$ | $1,145 k$ |
| Registered Voters | $410 k$ | $610 k$ | $516 k$ | $687 k$ |

## Tier 3 Senate Seats: California

- 2014 Brown (D) $=74 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
- 2012 Obama (D) $=78 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
$\square \quad 2012$ Feinstein (D) $=79 \%$ of the Latino vote (LD Election Eve Poll)
In 2010 Boxer (D) won by over 1 million votes; one Republican and two Democrats (Harris and Sanchez) have declared setting up a competitive primary

Participation Among California Latinos, 2008-2014

|  | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registration Rate | $62.8 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| Turnout Among Registered | $90.7 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ |
| Share of Electorate | $21.4 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| Share of Citizen Population | $23.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |
| Citizen Voting Age Population | $5,193 \mathrm{k}$ | $5,816 \mathrm{k}$ | $6,510 \mathrm{k}$ | $6,859 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Registered Voters | $3,263 \mathrm{k}$ | $3,025 \mathrm{k}$ | $3,684 \mathrm{k}$ | $3,294 \mathrm{k}$ |

## Take Aways

$\square$ Latinos are positioned to influence outcomes in a number of competitive Senate races

- All but two of these states are likely to be competitive at the presidential level
- The parties' handling of immigration continues to sharpen in a manner that favors the Democrats among Latino voters


## Current Latino Registration

| Democrats |
| :--- |
| hold a |
| registration |
| advantage in |
| all 10 states, |
| but many |
| Latinos are |
| nonpartisan |


| State | Democratic | Republican | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Colorado | $49.7 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Florida | $36.5 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| Illinois | $30.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| Nevada | $54.1 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| North Carolina | $37.7 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| Ohio | $26.2 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Pennsylvania | $63.6 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Wisconsin | $90.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Arizona | $44.0 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ |
| California | $53.6 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |

## Potential Total National GOP Vote 2016



## Potential Colorado GOP Vote 2016



## Potential Florida GOP Vote 2016



## Potential Nevada GOP Vote 2016



## Potential Ohio GOP Vote 2016



## Latino Undermobilization

| State | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2012 |  | 2014 |  |
| Colorado | 155k | 185k | 241k | 311k | 213k | 238k | 213k | 316 k |
| Florida | 608k | 761k | 861k | 1,237k | 628k | 851k | 987k | 1,588k |
| Illinois | 268k | 357k | 361k | 508k | 355k | 475k | 482k | 674k |
| Nevada | 97k | 109k | 142k | 177k | 121k | 145k | 162k | 262k |
| North Carolina | 35k | 41k | 77k | 102k | 54k | 74k | 97k | 151k |
| Ohio | 57k | 68k | 64k | 90k | 57k | 75k | 64k | 121k |
| Pennsylvania | 130k | 158k | 168k | 244k | 182k | 223k | 280k | 421 k |
| Wisconsin | 73k | 84k | 43k | 58k | 87k | 92k | 91k | 115k |
| Arizona | 386k | 505k | 451k | 654k | 473k | 589k | 458k | 781 k |
| California | 1,930k | 2,232k | 2,791 kk | 3,758k | 2,826k | 3,353k | 3,565k | 5,152k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014. unregistered columns are the difference between the Latino citizen voting age population and number of voting Latinos who are registered to vote. Nonvoters columns are the difference between the Latino citizen voting age population and number of Latinos who voted.

## Latino Undermobilization

| State | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters | Unregistered | Nonvoters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2012 |  | 2014 |  |
| Colorado | 155k | 185k | 241k | 311 k | 213k | 238k | 213k | 316 k |
| Florida | 608k | 761k | 861k | 1,237k | 628k | 851k | 987k | 1,588k |
| Illinois | 268k | 357k | 361k | 508k | 355k | 475k | 482k | 674k |
| Nevada | 97k | 109k | 142k | 177k | 121k | 145k | 162k | 262k |
| North Carolina | 35k | 41k | 77k | 102k | 54k | 74k | 97k | 151k |
| Ohio | 57k | 68k | 64k | 90k | 57k | 75k | 64k | 121k |
| Pennsylvania | 130k | 158k | 168k | 244k | 182k | 223k | 280k | 421 k |
| Wisconsin | 73k | 84k | 43k | 58k | 87k | 92k | 91k | 115k |
| Arizona | 386k | 505k | 451k | 654k | 473k | 589k | 458k | 781k |
| California | 1,930k | 2,232k | 2,791 kk | 3,758k | 2,826k | 3,353k | 3,565k | 5,152k |

Source: Latino Decisions analysis of US Census Current Population Survey, Nov supplement, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014. unregistered columns are the difference between the Latino citizen voting age population and number of voting Latinos who are registered to vote. Nonvoters columns are the difference between the Latino citizen voting age population and number of Latinos who voted. Presidential, US Senate, or gubernatorial races where the margin was less than either these values are shaded yellow.

## Next Steps

$\square$ Three-waves of polling of likely Latino voters in all 10 states

- Releases in late winter, August, and October of 2016
$\square$ Mapping competitive House elections
- In 2014 Democrats lost nine Tier 1 and 2 House seats and failed to contest many GOP held Tier 1 and 2 seats
- After blocking immigration reform, House Republicans continue to push an anti-immigrant agenda
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