


# 2016 LATINO ELECTION ANALYSIS

---

November 30, 2016

# Latino Decisions Election Eve Poll

2



# Edison national exit poll: Questions

3

- How did they arrive at 65-29?
- Which precincts did they select?
- How many were in Latino neighborhoods?
- How many Spanish interviews did they conduct?
- Did they match Latino sample to known census demographics?

# Latino voter demographics in Census & LD

	Census	LD E.E.	National Exits
Mexican	60.1	62.1	?
Puerto Rican	12.7	10.4	?
Cuban	4.3	4.1	?
Dominican	3.1	4.4	?
Central American	8.8	6.7	?
South American	6.3	7.7	?
HS or less	37.4	33.1	?
Some College	32.1	30.9	?
College +	30.5	33.4	?
18-29	22.6	24.8	?
30-44	28.1	25.2	?
45-64	35.5	34.4	?
65+	13.8	15.6	?
Spanish	30.2	31.3	?
English	69.8	68.7	?

# National exit polls questions

5

- 20% of their sample comes from a pre-election telephone poll of “early voters”
- 80% of their sample comes from a small handful of precincts in each state – which are not representative of Latinos.
  - For example in 2014 exit poll they selected ZERO precinct locations in the Rio Grande Valley, TX and this is where 25% of all Latinos in Texas live.

# National exit polls questions

6

- Who do they count as Hispanic or Latino?
- They have a basic race/ethnicity question that allows people to self-identify their primary race/ethnicity
- Then they have a follow-up question later to attempt to find more assimilated and acculturated Hispanics.
- They report extreme differences in the vote preferences of these different types of “Hispanics” in their sample

# National exit polls questions

7

- Where do they interview their Latino respondents?
- According to the Census
  - 48% of Latinos live in majority-Latino neighborhoods, 22% in neighborhoods 25-50% Latino and 30% in places less than 25% Latino
- Did they collect about half of their Latino interviews from respondents in majority-Latino neighborhoods?
  - They need to report out the demographics of Latino sample

# Opinions on the Exit Poll

8

## FiveThirtyEight

Politics

Sports

Science & Health

Economics

Culture



NATE SILVER 1:01 AM

The [national exit poll](#) shows [Trump making bigger gains](#) among black and Hispanic voters than among whites. [But I'd urge at least a little caution.](#) I know that exit polls aren't supposed to be used for projecting results, but they did an awfully bad job tonight, initially showing what had looked like a near-landslide margin for Clinton. Furthermore, as [compared with pre-election polls](#), Trump clearly overperformed the most in whiter states. So on second thought, [maybe that's a lot of caution and not just a little.](#)



# Opinions on the Exit Poll

9

LOCAL

SPORTS

THINGS TO DO

BUSINESS

TRAVEL

POLITICS

OPINION

HOMES

ARCHIVES

 NATION NOW

 65°

## Viewpoints: Why exit polls are wrong about Latino voters in Arizona

Stephen A. Nuño and Bryan Wilcox-Archuleta, AZ We See It 5:18 p.m. MST November 26, 2016

*Viewpoints: National exit polls claim 31 percent of Arizona Latinos voted for Donald Trump. Our analysis suggests that's impossible.*

# Opinions on the Exit Poll

10

*The Washington Post*

Monkey Cage | Analysis

**Donald Trump did not win 34% of Latino vote in Texas. He won much less.**

---

By Francisco Pedraza and Bryan Wilcox-Archuleta December 2

Evaluation of Edison/Mitofsky Election System 2004  
prepared by Edison Media Research and Mitofsky International  
for the National Election Pool (NEP)  
embargoed for release at 10AM ET January 19, 2005

These demographic groups have two things in common: each group represents 8% or less of the total number of voters, and each group tends to be concentrated geographically and thus would be more affected by any “clustering effects” in the precincts selected for the National Exit Poll sample. A National Sample of 250 precincts can do a good job estimating all of the broad characteristics of the electorate, but it is not designed to yield very reliable estimates of the characteristics of small, geographically clustered demographic groups. These groups have much larger design effects and thus larger sampling errors.

A detailed look at the distribution of plurality Hispanic precincts in the National Exit Poll Sample demonstrates how this clustering effect can influence the estimate of Hispanic voting in the National Exit Poll. Out of the 250 precincts in the national sample, 11 were plurality Hispanic precincts representing about 4% of the sample.

Comparing the regional breakout of the Hispanic vote, one can see the “clustering effect” that these two majority Cuban precincts have on the estimate of the Hispanic vote in the South in the National Exit Poll versus the Cross Survey Results. Since the National Exit Poll sample has only five plurality Hispanic precincts in the South, the number of Cuban precincts can make a difference in the estimate of the Hispanic vote in the region. If we want to improve the National Exit Poll estimate for Hispanic vote (or Asian vote, Jewish vote or Mormon vote etc.) we would either need to drastically increase the number of precincts in the National Sample or oversample the number of Hispanic precincts.


# Latino polling across 2016

12

<b>Poll</b>	<b>Percent Trump</b>
Univision/Washington Post	19%
NBC/Telemundo oversample	17%
NALEO/Telemundo	14%
FIU/New Latino Voice	13%
Gross statistical model	18%


# Perceptions of candidate outreach to Latinos

13




# Perceptions of candidate outreach to Latinos

14



# TURNING TO REAL ELECTION DATA FOR ANSWERS





# Deeper look at precincts in Texas

VTD	County	% Latino	Obama	Romney	Clinton	Trump	Chg D	Chg R
2150149	hidalgo	98.2%	74.1%	25.9%	83.3%	11.9%	9.3%	-14.0%
2150001	hidalgo	97.3%	73.6%	18.6%	81.0%	15.3%	7.4%	-3.3%
2150250	hidalgo	96.1%	78.6%	21.4%	84.9%	11.3%	6.3%	-10.1%
2150057	hidalgo	97.1%	70.6%	26.9%	76.2%	20.9%	5.6%	-6.0%
1410161	el paso	95.6%	75.6%	23.3%	80.4%	13.1%	4.8%	-10.2%
2150044	hidalgo	98.2%	76.4%	20.9%	80.6%	14.3%	4.2%	-6.6%
3550085	nueces	94.0%	78.4%	16.1%	82.4%	11.9%	4.0%	-4.2%
2150254	hidalgo	89.9%	60.7%	26.8%	64.5%	27.4%	3.8%	0.6%
610014	cameron	92.7%	78.8%	20.5%	82.2%	14.9%	3.4%	-5.7%
2150240	hidalgo	95.4%	64.1%	32.5%	67.4%	26.8%	3.3%	-5.7%
1134085	dallas	95.2%	81.3%	17.3%	83.4%	12.2%	2.1%	-5.1%
610069	cameron	97.0%	78.5%	19.8%	79.9%	17.5%	1.4%	-2.4%
610017	cameron	96.3%	79.9%	19.1%	81.3%	16.7%	1.4%	-2.4%
2150040	hidalgo	98.2%	82.5%	15.5%	83.7%	13.5%	1.2%	-2.0%
2150047	hidalgo	91.2%	75.3%	22.1%	76.1%	20.4%	0.8%	-1.7%
2150183	hidalgo	98.9%	72.7%	26.7%	73.1%	20.2%	0.4%	-6.5%
2150197	hidalgo	99.2%	86.5%	10.1%	86.9%	9.2%	0.4%	-0.9%
2150179	hidalgo	98.0%	75.6%	20.6%	75.9%	21.5%	0.4%	0.9%
1410150	el paso	95.4%	80.2%	18.1%	80.6%	14.0%	0.4%	-4.1%
2150228	hidalgo	99.3%	82.5%	13.9%	82.8%	11.6%	0.2%	-2.3%
610009	cameron	95.4%	83.1%	16.0%	83.3%	14.1%	0.2%	-1.8%
610071	cameron	94.0%	81.4%	17.5%	81.6%	15.5%	0.2%	-1.9%
2150234	hidalgo	95.4%	80.6%	14.0%	80.7%	13.4%	0.1%	-0.6%

# Opinions on the Exit Poll

17

*The Washington Post*

Monkey Cage | Analysis

**Donald Trump did not win 34% of Latino vote in Texas. He won much less.**

---


By Francisco Pedraza and Bryan Wilcox-Archuleta December 2

# Full analysis of Texas

18


- Rather than look only at high-density Latino precincts, analysts downloaded every single precinct for 17 counties in Texas which covers 75% of the state population
- Rely on ecological inference analysis – developed by Harvard Political Scientist Gary King
- Analyzing all precincts can provide accurate vote choice estimates using official vote data


Texas Presidential Vote Among Latinos



Across more than 4,300 precincts data very clearly shows Latino vote for Clinton was almost 80% and less than 20% for Trump

Exit poll claims 61% - 34%





## King's Ecological Inference estimates of Latino vote in Texas


Geography		Estimate	Std. Err.
Entire Sample	Clinton	0.7674	0.0028
Entire Sample	Trump	0.1768	0.0029
Large Pop	Clinton	0.8014	0.0052
Large Pop	Trump	0.1374	0.0033
Medium Pop	Clinton	0.7288	0.0091
Medium Pop	Trump	0.1879	0.0099
Small/Rural Pop	Clinton	0.7655	0.001
Small/Rural Pop	Trump	0.1872	0.0018

Counties included (n=4,868 precincts):


Large: Harris, Bexar, El Paso, Dallas, Tarrant, Austin

Medium: Collin, Lubbock, Nueces, Fort Bend, Randall

Small: Webb, Starr, Hidalgo, Cameron, Presidio, Potter




Votes for Clinton vs. Obama by precinct in Texas



Precincts in which Clinton won more total net votes than Obama


Precincts in which Obama won more total net votes than Clinton


Among 864 precincts which are 75% or higher Latino: Clinton won more total net votes than Obama in 692 (or 80%)



Across more than 1,200 precincts data very clearly shows Latino vote for Clinton was over 85% and less than 15% for Trump



Exit poll claims 61% - 31%






# Deeper look at precincts in Miami


Precinct	% Latino	Obama	Romney	Clinton	Trump	Chg D	Chg R
Miami-Dade 409	96.7%	42.1%	57.5%	60.5%	36.2%	18.3%	-21.3%
Miami-Dade 457	93.1%	34.4%	65.6%	45.3%	52.2%	10.9%	-13.4%
Miami-Dade 322	94.8%	54.3%	45.7%	64.0%	33.8%	9.7%	-11.9%
Miami-Dade 449	95.6%	33.8%	65.8%	43.8%	54.0%	10.0%	-11.8%
Miami-Dade 419	91.4%	28.3%	71.3%	36.5%	61.1%	8.3%	-10.2%
Miami-Dade 359	96.0%	50.9%	48.5%	59.4%	39.5%	8.5%	-9.1%
Miami-Dade 402	96.1%	31.9%	67.4%	39.4%	58.4%	7.5%	-9.0%
Miami-Dade 340	96.0%	50.3%	49.3%	57.7%	40.4%	7.4%	-8.9%
Miami-Dade 422	95.4%	34.9%	65.0%	41.8%	56.1%	6.9%	-8.9%
Miami-Dade 444	95.6%	44.5%	55.4%	51.4%	46.5%	6.9%	-8.8%
Miami-Dade 407	88.2%	36.9%	62.5%	42.6%	53.8%	5.7%	-8.7%
Miami-Dade 387	93.0%	54.3%	45.7%	60.7%	37.1%	6.5%	-8.6%
Miami-Dade 423	95.4%	36.1%	63.6%	42.3%	55.0%	6.2%	-8.6%
Miami-Dade 447	96.4%	55.3%	44.1%	61.0%	36.0%	5.7%	-8.1%
Miami-Dade 435	90.1%	41.4%	58.2%	46.8%	50.6%	5.4%	-7.6%
Miami-Dade 509	96.5%	45.8%	54.0%	50.9%	47.0%	5.1%	-7.0%
Miami-Dade 448	96.4%	53.8%	45.7%	58.5%	39.0%	4.7%	-6.7%
Miami-Dade 339	97.3%	46.0%	53.8%	50.2%	47.4%	4.2%	-6.4%
Miami-Dade 410	97.3%	50.8%	48.6%	54.7%	42.2%	3.9%	-6.4%
Miami-Dade 549	96.5%	49.8%	49.8%	54.4%	43.7%	4.7%	-6.0%
Miami-Dade 368	96.7%	42.6%	57.1%	46.2%	51.8%	3.6%	-5.3%
Miami-Dade 455	95.4%	58.7%	40.6%	61.3%	35.5%	2.6%	-5.2%
Miami-Dade 388	95.7%	53.6%	46.0%	56.5%	41.5%	2.9%	-4.5%
Miami-Dade 360	95.9%	51.4%	48.1%	53.7%	44.2%	2.3%	-3.9%
Miami-Dade 550	96.5%	48.4%	50.7%	50.7%	47.2%	2.3%	-3.4%
Miami-Dade 309	97.5%	48.7%	50.8%	50.2%	47.6%	1.5%	-3.2%
Miami-Dade 416	95.6%	50.8%	48.7%	51.6%	46.0%	0.9%	-2.7%
Miami-Dade 382	96.4%	45.1%	54.7%	45.8%	52.1%	0.7%	-2.6%




Two examples of counties in Florida

We see this trend across almost all counties in Florida – where Clinton exceeded Obama vote and Trump under-performed Romney among Latinos






Votes for Clinton vs. Obama by precinct in Florida



Among 230 precincts which are 75% or higher Latino: Clinton won more total net votes than Obama in 203 (or 88%)



## Nevada:

Exit poll estimated

60% Clinton to

29% Trump


LD estimated

81% to 16%


Area	Precinct	% Latino	% Clinton	% Trump
North Las Vegas	4555	87%	78.5%	16.5%
North Las Vegas	4561	87%	80.0%	15.2%
North Las Vegas	4585	87%	73.2%	21.8%
Las Vegas	4549	84%	81.6%	12.6%
Las Vegas	4387	83%	83.0%	11.9%
Las Vegas	4531	83%	75.0%	19.2%
North Las Vegas	4550	82%	78.3%	16.9%
Las Vegas	4384	81%	75.0%	19.8%
OtherClarkCities	5543	81%	85.9%	10.5%
North Las Vegas	4556	80%	80.4%	14.2%
North Las Vegas	4593	79%	83.0%	11.0%
Las Vegas	4557	78%	81.5%	14.4%
Reno	3028	78%	72.4%	18.5%
North Las Vegas	4598	77%	84.0%	12.0%
Las Vegas	4547	76%	76.8%	20.2%
Las Vegas	4569	76%	78.9%	15.6%
OtherClarkCities	4560	75%	75.9%	19.6%
Las Vegas	4551	74%	78.2%	16.3%
North Las Vegas	4597	74%	87.4%	7.7%
Las Vegas	4548	73%	83.0%	12.6%
Las Vegas	5544	73%	70.6%	24.0%
OtherClarkCities	4514	73%	83.7%	10.0%
Las Vegas	4530	72%	78.9%	16.2%
OtherClarkCities	6705	72%	69.5%	24.7%
Las Vegas	4532	71%	79.6%	15.6%
Las Vegas	4536	71%	75.5%	20.0%
North Las Vegas	4415	70%	88.9%	7.5%
North Las Vegas	4650	70%	87.7%	7.4%
OtherClarkCities	2751	70%	69.3%	25.9%
Las Vegas	4535	69%	69.6%	25.0%
Las Vegas	5542	69%	74.4%	20.4%
OtherClarkCities	5541	69%	84.3%	10.1%
Reno	3018	69%	72.7%	20.7%
<b>STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>###</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>

# New Mexico Latino Vote 2016

27



Precinct	% Latino	HRC Vote	DJT Vote
D.A. 80	99%	85%	9%
D. A. 87	96%	84%	9%
D. A. 13	99%	87%	7%
Val. 24	94%	72%	16%
S.F. 79	87%	66%	24%
S. M. 17	91%	69%	11%




# 20 most heavily Latino precincts in Virginia

#	Precinct	Obama	Romney	Clinton	Trump	Chg D	Chg R
1	Arlington 047 - Four Mile Run	72.2%	25.9%	79.4%	13.9%	7.2%	-12.0%
2	Fairfax 402 - Cameron	60.7%	37.4%	65.9%	26.0%	5.2%	-11.4%
3	Fairfax 411 - Virginia Hills	63.1%	35.1%	66.7%	26.6%	3.6%	-8.5%
4	Arlington 028 - Claremont	74.7%	24.0%	77.9%	15.5%	3.2%	-8.5%
5	Fairfax 418 - Lynbrook	67.0%	31.6%	71.0%	23.4%	4.1%	-8.2%
6	Fairfax 415 - Crestwood	61.2%	37.3%	63.4%	29.6%	2.2%	-7.7%
7	Fairfax 504 - Bristow	69.4%	29.2%	73.7%	21.7%	4.3%	-7.5%
8	Fairfax 515 - Westlawn	66.2%	31.9%	70.3%	24.5%	4.0%	-7.4%
9	Fairfax 510 - Parklawn	63.2%	35.2%	66.6%	27.9%	3.4%	-7.3%
10	Fairfax 506 - Holmes #1	72.9%	25.4%	78.1%	19.0%	5.2%	-6.4%
11	Fairfax 505 - Glen Forest	70.4%	27.9%	72.8%	21.9%	2.4%	-6.0%
12	Loudoun 703 - Rolling Ridge	66.1%	32.0%	66.4%	26.8%	0.3%	-5.2%
13	Prince William 705 - Potomac View	59.1%	40.2%	61.4%	35.0%	2.2%	-5.2%
14	Fairfax 325 - Hutchison	73.1%	24.6%	75.2%	19.4%	2.1%	-5.1%
15	Prince William 411 - Mullen	69.6%	29.0%	70.3%	23.9%	0.7%	-5.1%
16	Arlington 001 - Arlington	78.3%	20.1%	80.1%	15.1%	1.8%	-5.0%
17	Prince William 212 - Yorkshire	59.8%	37.9%	60.1%	33.8%	0.4%	-4.1%
18	Fairfax 106 - Heritage	71.8%	26.2%	72.4%	22.4%	0.6%	-3.8%
19	Fairfax 429 - Hybla Valley	83.4%	15.9%	84.3%	13.0%	0.8%	-2.9%
20	Arlington 043 - Arlington Mill	84.3%	14.2%	85.2%	11.5%	1.0%	-2.6%

# Wisconsin Latino Vote 2016

29


county results map



size of lead

0%-5% 5%-10% 10%+ tie / no data

Precinct	% Latino	HRC Vote	DJT Vote
D12W232	78%	87%	9%
D12W233	77%	88%	9%
D12W231	75%	85%	13%
D12W236	74%	83%	12%
D12W321	72%	90%	8%
D12W237	74%	88%	8%




# Deeper look at precincts in Pennsylvania

Precinct	County	% Latino	Clinton	Trump
PHL 1905	Philadelphia	92.6%	92.6%	6.1%
PHL 1906	Philadelphia	92.1%	95.4%	2.9%
PHL 1907	Philadelphia	91.8%	95.3%	4.1%
PHL 1908	Philadelphia	91.5%	95.5%	4.5%
PHL 1909	Philadelphia	91.5%	95.3%	3.5%
Allentown 10805	Lehigh	85.3%	78.9%	19.0%
Allentown 10801	Lehigh	84.8%	87.0%	11.2%
PHL 1912	Philadelphia	83.6%	94.7%	4.3%
PHL 1914	Philadelphia	83.2%	93.4%	5.8%
PHL 1915	Philadelphia	83.5%	97.2%	1.6%
PHL 1901	Philadelphia	82.1%	93.9%	6.1%
PHL 1902	Philadelphia	82.1%	94.8%	5.0%
PHL 1903	Philadelphia	80.8%	93.0%	6.6%
PHL 1904	Philadelphia	80.9%	96.7%	2.7%
PHL 704	Philadelphia	82.3%	94.6%	5.2%
PHL 703	Philadelphia	83.1%	95.9%	4.1%
PHL 718	Philadelphia	83.7%	95.9%	3.3%
PHL 719	Philadelphia	80.5%	96.2%	1.9%
Allentown 10803	Lehigh	78.1%	85.0%	13.2%
Reading 19-2	Berks	73.5%	82.3%	16.1%
Reading 12-1	Berks	73.3%	88.6%	10.1%
Reading 6-3	Berks	72.4%	89.7%	8.3%



# Deeper look at precincts in California


Cities	Precinct	% Lat Reg	Obama	Romney	Clinton	Trump	Chg D	Chg R
Maywood	4200013A	86.5%	87.6%	9.2%	92.0%	4.5%	4.4%	-4.8%
Maywood	4200014A	92.7%	88.5%	8.1%	91.9%	4.8%	3.4%	-3.3%
East Los Angeles	2000005A	97.8%	91.1%	5.9%	91.5%	6.5%	0.3%	0.6%
Huntington Park	2850006A	93.3%	85.1%	10.9%	91.3%	4.8%	6.2%	-6.1%
East Los Angeles	2000003A	87.5%	91.7%	5.7%	90.9%	5.7%	-0.8%	0.0%
Maywood	4200005A	89.9%	85.6%	10.2%	90.4%	6.9%	4.9%	-3.3%
Huntington Park	2850025A	92.6%	80.5%	11.9%	89.7%	7.1%	9.2%	-4.8%
Maywood	4200001D	91.9%	80.2%	10.5%	89.4%	7.4%	9.2%	-3.1%
Huntington Park	2850001A	94.9%	86.0%	9.9%	89.4%	5.8%	3.4%	-4.2%
Huntington Park	2850029A	88.3%	84.5%	11.4%	89.3%	6.8%	4.8%	-4.6%
Huntington Park	2850015A	88.0%	84.0%	10.8%	89.3%	5.8%	5.3%	-5.0%
Huntington Park	2850017A	92.2%	86.6%	9.7%	88.7%	9.1%	2.1%	-0.6%
East Los Angeles	2000001A	87.3%	87.7%	6.6%	88.4%	6.1%	0.6%	-0.5%
Huntington Park	2850005B	94.5%	88.6%	6.7%	88.3%	5.5%	-0.3%	-1.2%
Huntington Park	2850026A	88.3%	84.8%	12.5%	87.7%	8.0%	2.9%	-4.5%
Maywood	4200009A	92.7%	84.0%	11.1%	87.3%	8.8%	3.3%	-2.3%
Huntington Park	2850004A	91.2%	87.3%	8.3%	87.2%	8.5%	-0.1%	0.3%
Huntington Park	2850010A	85.0%	87.4%	9.3%	87.1%	8.3%	-0.3%	-1.0%
Huntington Park	2850011A	92.5%	80.4%	14.0%	87.0%	8.6%	6.6%	-5.4%
Huntington Park	2850009A	92.5%	86.3%	7.2%	86.9%	7.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Huntington Park	2850050A	84.8%	87.6%	9.0%	86.9%	7.7%	-0.7%	-1.3%
East Los Angeles	2000011A	88.1%	85.4%	9.0%	86.8%	7.4%	1.4%	-1.6%
Huntington Park	2850022A	80.1%	83.8%	11.5%	86.8%	8.2%	3.0%	-3.4%
Maywood	4200010B	88.2%	80.2%	13.2%	84.4%	11.9%	4.2%	-1.3%



# Deeper look at precincts in Illinois

Exit poll  
reports “N/A”  
for IL Latinos

Geography	Prec	% Latino	2012 TO	% Obama	% Romney	2016 TO	% Clinton	% Trump	12-16 TO	12-16 %D	12-16 %R
Chicago, Ward10	12	81.0%	54.2%	65.3%	33.8%	63.6%	72.0%	23.6%	9.4%	6.7%	-10.2%
Chicago, Ward10	30	94.0%	53.8%	82.7%	16.0%	57.4%	88.6%	7.6%	3.6%	5.8%	-8.4%
Chicago, Ward10	29	90.0%	56.0%	78.5%	20.2%	60.9%	84.3%	13.2%	4.9%	5.8%	-7.0%
Chicago, Ward15	1	72.0%	52.1%	87.0%	11.9%	56.0%	92.1%	6.4%	3.9%	5.1%	-5.5%
Chicago, Ward14	19	96.1%	62.3%	84.3%	14.5%	68.6%	89.0%	8.2%	6.4%	4.7%	-6.4%
Chicago, Ward14	13	88.8%	66.0%	83.6%	14.3%	67.1%	88.3%	8.9%	1.2%	4.7%	-5.4%
Chicago, Ward12	32	81.0%	52.8%	75.0%	24.2%	60.0%	79.5%	17.3%	7.3%	4.5%	-6.9%
Chicago, Ward14	30	91.7%	62.7%	90.5%	7.5%	64.3%	94.9%	2.5%	1.6%	4.4%	-5.0%
Proviso	11	83.0%	62.7%	70.3%	27.7%	63.7%	74.4%	21.5%	1.0%	4.1%	-6.2%
Chicago, Ward13	47	86.0%	74.8%	82.6%	16.0%	74.2%	86.7%	10.4%	-0.6%	4.0%	-5.7%
Chicago, Ward22	3	97.0%	48.2%	92.2%	7.8%	57.7%	95.7%	3.1%	9.4%	3.5%	-4.7%
Chicago, Ward22	9	97.0%	49.3%	91.0%	7.4%	58.1%	94.5%	3.0%	8.8%	3.5%	-4.4%
Chicago, Ward15	10	83.0%	64.4%	80.8%	17.4%	64.2%	84.2%	11.4%	-0.2%	3.4%	-6.0%
Chicago, Ward14	6	93.5%	60.3%	88.2%	11.1%	67.2%	91.6%	6.2%	6.9%	3.3%	-4.9%
Chicago, Ward14	15	91.2%	67.4%	87.9%	10.5%	71.9%	91.2%	5.1%	4.5%	3.3%	-5.4%
Chicago, Ward13	30	85.0%	69.3%	86.7%	12.8%	76.7%	89.9%	5.8%	7.4%	3.2%	-7.0%
Chicago, Ward13	3	92.0%	69.0%	81.4%	18.2%	71.5%	84.6%	12.0%	2.4%	3.2%	-6.3%
Chicago, Ward22	6	95.0%	48.7%	86.9%	12.0%	55.3%	89.9%	6.6%	6.6%	3.0%	-5.4%
Chicago, Ward25	9	85.0%	48.7%	86.9%	12.0%	55.3%	89.9%	6.6%	6.6%	3.0%	-5.4%
Elgin County	6	65.0%	52.0%	73.0%	24.0%	59.0%	76.0%	18.0%	7.0%	3.0%	-6.0%
Chicago, Ward14	26	95.7%	61.0%	87.5%	11.3%	64.9%	90.5%	6.1%	3.9%	3.0%	-5.2%
Proviso	87	72.0%	67.4%	59.0%	39.4%	70.8%	61.9%	33.7%	3.3%	2.9%	-5.7%
Chicago, Ward15	13	89.0%	52.0%	89.0%	10.8%	53.1%	91.9%	6.1%	1.1%	2.9%	-4.7%
Chicago, Ward22	7	97.0%	55.2%	89.6%	8.7%	60.5%	92.5%	4.3%	5.4%	2.9%	-4.3%
Chicago, Ward12	20	84.0%	58.8%	86.3%	12.6%	61.8%	88.6%	9.1%	2.9%	2.3%	-3.5%
Chicago, Ward15	11	84.0%	57.2%	86.9%	12.2%	59.7%	89.1%	8.4%	2.5%	2.2%	-3.8%
Chicago, Ward22	5	92.0%	54.7%	91.0%	7.5%	58.5%	92.9%	5.0%	3.8%	1.9%	-2.5%
Chicago, Ward25	1	84.0%	54.7%	91.0%	7.5%	58.5%	92.9%	5.0%	3.8%	1.9%	-2.5%
Chicago, Ward14	14	96.2%	66.6%	86.6%	12.5%	67.0%	88.5%	7.2%	0.4%	1.9%	-5.3%
Chicago, Ward22	4	94.0%	47.8%	92.4%	5.8%	55.5%	94.2%	3.8%	7.7%	1.8%	-2.0%
Chicago, Ward25	11	85.0%	47.8%	92.4%	5.8%	55.5%	94.2%	3.8%	7.7%	1.8%	-2.0%
Chicago, Ward22	20	94.0%	48.2%	88.4%	10.1%	54.4%	90.1%	6.5%	6.1%	1.7%	-3.6%



# Deeper look at precincts in New York

Exit poll reports  
Trump won 23%  
of NY Latinos


Precinct	% Latino	Clinton	Trump
Bronx Bronx 85047	96%	91.5%	6.0%
Queens Corona 35039	93%	89.7%	9.0%
New York Inwood 72048	92%	95.1%	3.2%
New York Inwood 72047	92%	97.0%	2.3%
Queens Corona 35013	91%	88.6%	6.6%
New York Inwood 72055	90%	93.2%	6.0%
New York Inwood 72056	90%	91.5%	7.5%
Queens Corona 35040	88%	86.5%	12.2%
Kings Brooklyn 51050	86%	89.7%	8.3%
Queens Corona 39001	84%	95.7%	2.8%
Queens Corona 35041	83%	90.4%	8.0%
Queens Corona 35038	82%	91.1%	6.9%
Bronx Bronx 84051	82%	94.7%	4.6%
Bronx Bronx 84052	82%	94.5%	4.4%
Bronx Bronx 84050	82%	90.4%	6.1%
Queens Corona 35037	81%	84.0%	14.2%
Kings Brooklyn 51067	81%	83.7%	14.3%
Kings Brooklyn 53057	81%	93.9%	3.8%
Rockland Haverstraw 6	81%	74.3%	17.9%
Kings Brooklyn 53058	80%	91.6%	5.5%
Kings Brooklyn 53063	80%	92.4%	2.5%
Kings Brooklyn 51066	79%	86.0%	11.7%
New York Inwood 72049	79%	91.3%	6.9%
New York Inwood 72052	79%	91.8%	6.4%
Kings Brooklyn 51051	77%	82.6%	13.9%
Bronx Bronx 85045	77%	92.1%	7.3%
Bronx Bronx 82013	76%	96.0%	4.0%

Where did that  
23% come  
from???

# Ohio Latino Vote 2016

34

county results map



Precinct	% Latino	HRC Vote	DJT Vote
Columbus 04D	75%	90%	7%
Cleveland 14H	74%	81%	12%
Cleveland 14L	73%	80%	14%
Loraine 5D	72%	80%	15%
Cleveland14M	71%	75%	21%
Cleveland 14E	70%	74%	19%

# THANK YOU!

FOLLOW OUR WORK AT  
LATINODECISIONS.COM  
@LATINODECISIONS